

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed August 23, 2007 has been carefully considered.
Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Rejection(s) Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (U.S. pub. no. 2005/0028208) in view of Huang et al. (U.S. pat. no. 6,437,836).
Independent claims 1, 3, 5, 6 and 8 have been amended to further claim at least one non-television component coupled by way of a local area network. This feature is not disclosed in Ellis, and its absence is not remedied by Huang, even if these references were properly combinable.

Conclusion


In view of the preceding discussion, Applicants respectfully urge that the claims of the present application define patentable subject matter and should be passed to allowance.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone call would help advance prosecution of the present invention, the Examiner is kindly invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number below.

Please charge any additional required fees, including those necessary to obtain extensions of time to render timely the filing of the instant Amendment and/or Reply to Office Action, or credit any overpayment not otherwise credited, to our deposit account no. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,
THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP

Dated: 11/21/2007


Khaled Shami
Reg. No. 38,745

THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 292-5800
Fax. (408) 287-8040